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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (PAVMs) are structurally abnormal vascular communications that provide a continuous right-to-left shunt between pulmonary arteries and veins. Their importance stems from the risks they pose (>1 in 4 patients will have a paradoxical embolic stroke, abscess or myocardial infarction while life-threatening haemorrhage affects 1 in 100 women in pregnancy), opportunities for risk prevention, surprisingly high prevalence and underappreciation, thus representing a challenging condition for practising healthcare professionals. The driver for the current Clinical Statement was the plethora of new data since previous hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) guidelines generated in 2006 and a systematic Cochrane Review for PAVM embolisation in 2011. The British Thoracic Society (BTS) identified key areas in which there is now evidence to drive a change in practice. Due to the paucity of data in children, this Statement focused on adults over 16 years. The Statement spans the management of PAVMs already known to be present (interventional and medical), screening and diagnosis (for PAVMs and HHT) and follow-up of patients following a first diagnosis, intervention or negative screen for PAVMs. The Good Practice Points (in bold) were generated for a target audience of general respiratory, medical and specialist clinicians and were approved by the BTS Standards of Care Committee, before formal peer review and public consultation. The Statement spans embolisation treatment, accessory medical management and issues related to the likelihood of underlying HHT.

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (PAVMs) are structurally abnormal vascular communications between pulmonary arteries and veins that range in size and complexity and provide an anatomic right-to-left shunt.1–4 PAVMs allow a proportion of the right ventricular stroke volume to bypass gas exchange, filtration and other functions of the pulmonary capillary bed. Limited prevalence data suggest that PAVMs may affect many as 1 in 2600 individuals (95% CIs 1 in 1315 to 5555).5 The majority of PAVMs are due to hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT),6–9 but there is evidence for underappreciation of both PAVMs10 and HHT.11 PAVMs may be present from birth and have usually completed major development by adult life, although they can enlarge later in life, for example, during pregnancy or other alterations in pulmonary haemodynamics.12 The size of the right-to-left shunt determines the degree of hypoxaemia,13 14 exuberant ventilation15 and high cardiac output.16 PAVMs of any size allow paradoxical emboli that may cause ischaemic strokes,17 18 myocardial infarction,19–20 cerebral (brain)21–23 and peripheral abscesses, discitis and migraines.24–26 Less frequently, PAVMs may cause haemoptysis, haemothorax27–29 and/or maternal death in pregnancy.30,31 Due to compensatory adaptations, respiratory symptoms are frequently absent or not recognised until PAVM treatment has led to improvement or resolution.1 13–16 26 However, at least one in three patients with PAVMs will have more severe migraines, nosebleeds, respiratory, cardia or other symptoms than they would if PAVMs were treated. Risk–benefit analyses are almost always in favour of treatment.30–32 There is evidence for risk reduction for some PAVM complications,13–17 24 26 29 although contraindications should be considered. Additionally, recent evidence reminds of the potential levels13 and consequences14 of iatrogenic radiation exposure incurred in delivery of these health benefits.

HHT, the most common cause of PAVMs, is a multisystemic vascular disorder.26–31 HHT is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait and most commonly results from a pathogenic sequence variant in ENG encoding endoglin (HHT type 1/HHT1), ACVRL1 encoding ALK-1 (HHT type 2/HHT2) or SMAD4.32–37 Frequent nosebleeds are the hallmark of HHT. Approximately 50% of patients with HHT have CT-detectable PAVMs38 and most have systemic arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) in sites such as the liver, gastrointestinal tract or brain.6–9 35 37–39 All HHT genotypes predispose to AVMs, bleeding from mucocutaneous and gastrointestinal telangiectasia, and iron deficiency anaemia due to chronic blood loss.40 Visceral AVMs are usually silent but have the potential for site-specific complications. By reducing systemic vascular resistance, visceral AVMs require supranormal cardiac outputs to maintain arterial blood pressure, with cardiac demands increased further by coexisting iron deficiency, anaemia, hypoxaemia, exercise, pregnancy and sepsis.41–46 Despite their haemorrhagic phenotype, patients with HHT are at risk of venous thromboemboli (VTE), particularly if serum iron is low47 and/or factor VIII high.48,49 Patients with HHT often tolerate anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy well.50 Recently described reduced rates of specific cancers,44 50 51 better survival outcomes for cancer patients who have HHT52 and low myocardial infarction rates53 await explanation. The HHT phenotype is subtly different between HHT genotypes, for instance patients with HHT2 are less likely to have PAVMs and more likely to

1NHVI Vascular Science, Imperial College London, London, UK 2Respiratory Medicine, and VASCERN HHT European Reference Centre, Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK 3Pulmonary Vascular Disease Unit, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK 4Dept of Respiratory Medicine, Derby Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Derby, UK 5Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK 6Pulmonary Hypertension Department, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK 7NHVI Vascular Science, Imperial College London, London, UK

Correspondence to
Professor Claire L Shovlin, Professor of Practice (Clinical and Molecular Medicine), NHVI Vascular Science, Imperial College London, Imperial Centre for Translational and Experimental Medicine, Hammersmith Campus, Du Cane Rd, London W12 ÖNN, UK; c.shovlin@imperial.ac.uk

Received 14 July 2017
Revised 1 September 2017
Accepted 4 September 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-211072

CrossMark

have hepatic AVMs than patients with HHT1 or SMAD4-related HHT. In addition, many rarer HHT complications appear to be relatively genotype specific, for example, pulmonary arterial hypertension (ACVR1) and juvenile polyposis (SMAD4) and aortopathy (SMAD4).

Non-HHT patients can develop sporadic PAVMs, when they are generally solitary. Single and multiple PAVMs commonly occur following surgical treatment of complex cyanotic congenital heart disease if a lung receives no or minimal hepatic venous return and more rarely as part of other inherited vasculopathies. Radiological differential diagnoses of a PAVM include a bronchocoele, bronchopulmonary sequestration, pulmonary varix, pulmonary arterial aneurysm and aberrant systemic to pulmonary communications. Other causes of right-to-left shunts detectable by contrast echocardiography include intermittent shunting through intracardiac defects (when right-side pressures exceed left, most commonly after a Valsalva manoeuvre), the hepatopulmonary syndrome and a systemic to pulmonary communications. Other causes of right-to-left shunts detectable by contrast echocardiography include intermittent shunting through intracardiac defects (when right-side pressures exceed left, most commonly after a Valsalva manoeuvre), the hepatopulmonary syndrome and aortic valve disease.

Clinical Statement Methodology

The Clinical Statement Group (CSG) was chaired by Professor Claire Shovlin (CLS) and comprised the three most recent chairs of the British Thoracic Society (BTS) Pulmonary Vascular Specialist Advisory Group and an experienced respiratory trainee. The CSG identified key areas requiring Good Practice Points. Evidence from manuscripts identified by ongoing practice reviews was supplemented by formal PubMed searches. Proposed Statement content and groupings were agreed and discussed by the committee and assigned to areas of management (figure 1). Following discussions of broad Statement content, the first text draft was written by CLS, and all committee members revised and contributed to further development. The draft Statement was reviewed by the BTS Standards of Care Committee and made available on the BTS website for a limited period of public and key stakeholder consultation (December 2016–January 2017). It was then peer-reviewed for Thorax publication, and the revised document was reapproved by the BTS Standards of Care Committee in June 2017 before final Thorax review and publication.

Section 1: Interventions

1A: Management overview

All patients with radiographically-visible PAVMs should be considered for referral to interventional colleagues experienced in PAVM embolisation. Due to the small diameter of many PAVM feeding arteries and the possibility of reperfusion or recanalisation, medical management and follow-up is also required (see Sections 2 and 4).

Embolisation treatment is recommended even for asymptomatic patients. PAVM treatment reduces risks from paradoxical emboli and improves oxygenation, other physiological parameters, symptoms exacerbated by right-to-left shunting and haemorrhage. Patients should not undergo embolisation expecting improved exercise tolerance, although this may be observed. Patients with concurrent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma or cardiovascular disease, or patients pursuing activities when exuberant ventilation is required to clear carbon dioxide, are more likely to note symptomatic benefit from embolisation.

Right-to-left shunts detectable only by contrast echocardiography carry lower risks, but for radiologically-visible PAVMs, there is no ‘3 mm rule’ (a widely held view prior to data published in 2006/2007 that stated lesions with a diameter of <3 mm did not require interventional therapy) or other risk threshold. Complete obliteration of all PAVMs by embolisation is less commonly achieved than shunt reduction, particularly if PAVMs are multiple and associated with HHT. Embolisation is a safe intervention in experienced hands with a major complication rate of approximately 1%. Treatment decisions should be made in a multiprofessional context, in accordance with patient preference. Patient age, symptoms, potential contraindications and wider screening issues (Section 3) should be taken into consideration. Guidance on technical aspects of embolisation including device

Figure 1 Overview of PAVM management and position of statement sections. HHT, hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia; PAVM, pulmonary arteriovenous malformation. PaO₂, arterial partial pressure of oxygen. SaO₂, oxygen saturation: percentage of haemoglobin binding sites occupied by oxygen.
choice, placement and operator dependence are provided in interventional manuscripts. 

Embolisation should not be undertaken by interventional radiologists unless they have extensive prior experience and work in a high volume PAVM practice—the European Reference Network for Vascular Diseases (VASCERN) defines this as >20 procedures. 

It is also noteworthy that there are diverse practices even in specialist centres.

18: Possible contraindications to embolisation

Pregnancy, pulmonary hypertension and renal impairment are relative contraindications to elective embolisation procedures, though benefits may outweigh risks particularly in the setting of life-threatening haemoptysis.

Each relative contraindication is uncommon. Radiation and risks of preterm labour mean that prophylactic treatment of PAVMs in pregnancy is not commonly performed. It is anticipated that the absence of clinical symptoms and absence of features on imaging performed to evaluate PAVMs will be able to exclude clinically significant pulmonary hypertension. If heart disease, heart failure or pulmonary hypertension are suspected, then ECG and echocardiography (to estimate systolic pulmonary artery pressure and right ventricular size and function) should be performed before discussing with interventional colleagues. For patients with renal failure, the risk of exacerbation by radiological contrast medium should be considered. Rarer contraindications should be considered on merit.

Local policies for periprocedural anticoagulant bridging should be followed, and temporary cessation of antiplatelet therapy (as recommended by interventional colleagues) should be considered if safe.

1C: Surgical considerations

Lung transplantation is not indicated for uncomplicated PAVMs, but there may be a role for limited surgical resection if the PAVMs are unsuitable for embolisation.

Longevity of patients with severe PAVMs exceeds those of lung transplant recipients. For patients with ongoing neurological or other PAVM complications, if there is no realistic possibility of further improvement by embolisation and disease is anatomically limited, then surgical resection may be considered.

SECTION 2: MEDICAL MANAGEMENT

2A: Hypoxaemia

i) Despite oxygenation parameters that would fulfil requirements for supplementary oxygen in other clinical settings, patients with hypoxaemia due to PAVMs usually display good exercise capacity, flight tolerance and successful pregnancies, assuming normal physiological compensations can operate.

Hypoxaemia defined by low PaO₂ and low SaO₂ results from right-to-left shunting: SaO₂ is generally in the region of 60% for a shunt of 50% of the cardiac output, 80% for a shunt of 30% and 90% for a shunt of 10%. Arterial oxygen content (CaO₂) is usually preserved by secondary erythrocytosis (CaO₂ = 1.34 x SaO₂ x haemoglobin). In iron-replete patients with PAVMs, the median CaO₂ is ~18.8 mls/dL: the CaO₂ is higher in those who exercise vigorously and lower if iron deficiency is present. Oxygen utilisation/delivery at peak exercise can also be maintained in hypoxaemic patients whose cardiovascular and haematological compensations regress after successful embolisation. Dyspnoea is uncommon, even in flight, during exercise or if SaO₂ and CaO₂ fall suddenly on standing (orthodeoxia). Hypoxaemic patients at their limits of compensation more commonly describe dizziness, fatigue, palpitations, angina, or other symptoms as exhibited by anaemic patients. These, or any new respiratory symptoms, should prompt evaluation and treatment of potential concurrent iron deficiency, haematological or cardiorespiratory pathologies limiting compensatory mechanisms, particularly as these non-PAVM pathologies may be more amenable to symptomatic improvement following treatment.

ii) There is no indication for supplementary oxygen, bed rest, exercise limitation, or hospitalisation for the asymptomatic, hypoxaemic patient with PAVMs.

Long-term oxygen therapy in respiratory disease is used to ameliorate alveolar hypoxia. In contrast, there is no alveolar hypoxia in patients with uncomplicated PAVMs. If oxygen is prescribed for patients with PAVMs, this should be based on symptoms, not SaO₂ or PaO₂ values. In the absence of coexisting cardiorespiratory disease or symptoms, there is no evidence that patients with PAVMs require supplemental oxygen during air travel.

Supplementary oxygen may be helpful for rare patients describing cardiorespiratory symptoms (usually in the setting of concurrent cardiac, respiratory or bone marrow disease) or neurological symptoms such as ‘dizzy spells,’ impaired cognition or other indicators of reduced cerebral oxygen delivery.

iii) There is no indication for ‘prophylactic’ venesection for the asymptomatic PAVM patient with a high haematocrit. As for patients with cyanotic congenital heart disease, venesection (isovolaemic) should only be considered if there are symptoms of hyperviscosity.

Venesection risks include reducing arterial oxygen content (increasing cardiac demands and risks of cerebral ischaemia) and wider consequences from induced iron deficiency. Hyperviscosity symptoms can be difficult to distinguish from the cerebral hypoperfusion symptoms that are more commonly reported by patients with PAVMs—correlation of new symptoms with a newly increased haematocrit may help attribute them to hyperviscosity.

2B: Ischaemic stroke

PAVM patients are at increased risk of ischaemic stroke and can be managed using antiplatelet agents, but the safety of thrombolysis is not established. A new stroke should prompt re-evaluation of both PAVM suitability for embolisation and development of other PAVM-specific stroke risk factors.

Ischaemic stroke risk appears broadly comparable across all sizes and severity of CT-evident PAVMs but is only minimally increased, if at all, by low grade contrast echo positive shunts without CT evidence of PAVMs. In contrast to cerebral abscess (discussed below), there is little if any relationship with low SaO₂. Only a small proportion of paradoxical emboli result in a clinical stroke; however, several series emphasise the burden of silent infarcts. In one, 34/67 (51%) of patients with PAVMs had CT-evidence of cerebral infarcts at median age of 41 years. Symptoms suggesting an individual with PAVMs may be at higher risk of ischaemic stroke include previous typical symptoms of transient ischaemic attacks and frequent migraines. Both low serum iron and high fibrinogen are associated with ischaemic stroke and myocardial infarction, with risks potentially mediated by enhanced platelet aggregation. As in the general population, the majority of ischaemic strokes in patients with PAVMs are treated with antiplatelet agents, which are generally tolerated well, even when HHT is present. Antiplatelet agents are currently used selectively based...
on symptoms and previous history of TIA or ischaemic stroke, but the indications may be extended. Anticoagulants are rarely required for prevention of strokes due to PAVMs but may be required if there is concurrent atrial fibrillation or VTE. There is insufficient evidence of safety or clinical benefit to recommend thrombolysis.

**BTS Clinical Statement**

**2C: Brain abscess**

i) The possibility of brain abscess should be considered for PAVM patients presenting with acute neurological events, particularly in the setting of prolonged migraine-like headaches or epileptic fits.

ii) For patients with PAVMs, judicious dental hygiene is recommended, together with prophylactic antibiotics prior to dental, endoscopic, surgical and other interventional procedures that could lead to transient bacteraemias.

iii) There is an unexplained association between VTE and brain abscess. If patients with PAVMs are already in a prothrombotic state (eg, post-pneumonia), non-urgent dental treatment should be postponed until the acute phase response has resolved.

All patients with PAVMs are at potential risk of brain abscess, which causes death and substantial disability unless very promptly recognised and urgently referred for neurosurgical drainage. The risk of brain abscess is higher for patients with lower SaO2, prior VTE, iron loading, and may be higher in specific families. The majority of brain abscesses are caused by blood-borne dissemination of periodontal organisms, often following an initiating dental intervention. Judicious dental hygiene is recommended to reduce post-toothbrushing bacteraemias and potential later reactivation of dormant bacteria. The use of prophylactic antibiotics prior to dental examinations and procedures is supported by a clinical trial indicating efficacy of intravenous and oral antibiotic regimes in limiting transient dental bacteraemias after tooth extractions and other guidance. Optimal regimens have not been established, but prior administration is recommended given that in the general population dental bacteraemias are cleared within minutes in the absence of antibiotics, but prevented or resolved earlier with prior antibiotic administration. Based on earlier endocarditis guidance, oral administration is conventionally 1–2 hours before a procedure, with a further dose post-procedure. Coamoxiclav is the preferred agent with clindamycin or metronidazole suggested for penicillin-allergic patients. Anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy can also help reduce inadvertent iron toxicity through unnecessarily intense or prolonged use.

**2D: Iron deficiency and anaemia**

For hypoxaemic patients, iron supplementation may be required even if the haemoglobin is in the normal range. Microcytic and/or hypochromic red cell indices suggest iron deficiency that is not excluded by a normal serum ferritin.

Clinicians should be vigilant to recognise ‘relative’ anaemia due to recent blood loss and/or iron deficiency, which is associated with an increased risk of ischaemic stroke and high output cardiac failure. As a rough guide, to maintain a normal CaO2, an SaO2 of 90% requires a haemoglobin of ≥155 g/L and an SaO2 of 80% requires a haemoglobin of ≥175 g/L.

Although reduced haemoglobin may result from any conventional cause in patients with PAVM, the most common is iron deficiency because of underlying HHT. Serum iron and transferrin saturation index should be assessed in addition to ferritin, because ferritin is an acute phase protein and, as emphasised by recent NHLS guidance, can be normal or high despite iron deficiency. Iron replacement is recommended if iron deficiency is confirmed. This may be due to the patient not meeting their recommended nutrient intake (RNI) (8.7 mg/day, or 14.8 mg/day if a premenopausal female) or haemorrhage-adjusted iron requirement. Iron deficiency may also reflect substantially reduced gastrointestinal absorption of oral iron, for example, due to acute inflammatory states, co-ingestion of dietary inhibitors of absorption (particularly tea) or gastrointestinal-specific pathologies such as coeliac disease.

While iron deficiency requires treatment, care is required to minimise side effects that reduce compliance (particularly gastrointestinal and/or increase iron demands (>5% of patients with HHT report increased nosebleeds following oral or intravenous iron)). There are recent concerns of further HHT/PAVM complications being aggravated through transient iron overload states after oral or intravenous iron. While iron replacement is helpful to use oral iron supplements closer to the higher RNI (14.8 mg/day), such as ferrous gluconate (35 mg elemental iron) or even 14 mg supplements, in preference to ferrous sulfate/fumarate preparations containing ≥6.5 mg of elemental iron. Monitoring iron indices after commencing therapy (oral or intravenous) can also help reduce inadvertent iron toxicity through unnecessarily intense or prolonged use.

**2E: Pregnancy**

The majority of PAVM pregnancies proceed normally even in the setting of significant hypoxaemia. However, there is a 1% risk of maternal death in pregnancy, and Anglo-French recommendations are therefore to manage these as ‘high-risk’ pregnancies involving a multiprofessional approach.

All patients should be aware of the increase in maternal mortality associated with PAVMs and/or underlying HHT. It is recommended that PAVMs are treated prior to conception. Patients with PAVM and their clinicians should be aware that any haemoptysis is potentially life threatening prompting immediate hospital admission and that antibiotic prophylaxis at delivery is required. During pregnancy, despite interim growth of PAVMs: serial CaO2 values (incorporating haemoglobin) more accurately reflect PAVM status in this situation. Further pregnancy management details are available.

**2F: Pulmonary hypertension**

Significant pulmonary hypertension is rare in patients with PAVMs. The presence of pulmonary hypertension influences risk–benefit analyses for PAVM embolisation.

When present, pulmonary hypertension is usually due to high pulmonary blood flow secondary to hepatic AVMs (which may resolve following liver transplantation) and/or anaemia, and less commonly due to pulmonary arterial hypertension (particularly associated with ACVRL1/HHT2 pathogenic gene variants) or other aetiologies. Fatal increases in pulmonary artery
pressure have been reported after PAVM embolisation, and severe pulmonary hypertension is considered a relative contra-indication for this procedure. If pulmonary hypertension is suspected, then referral to a designated pulmonary hypertension service is recommended for further evaluation and management.

2. Medical management (DIPPSOH)

 ► CT scans should not be repeated based on protocol, given the potential radiation burden. However, there may be an indication to repeat post-pregnancy (a time of PAVM growth).

 A recommendation for PAVM screening is indicated for an HHT patient with no symptoms attributable to the PAVMs, because of favourable risk–benefit considerations. Screening recommendations should be made based on full consideration of all possible outcomes for the particular individual, including the possibility that screening may not directly benefit them and could potentially cause harm. The main risks of screening and treatment include radiation exposure (CT and angiography), the possibility (although small) of precipitating a paradoxical embolic event or other treatment-related complication, potential discovery of an ‘incidental’ finding and psychological distress for a healthy person who could lose the perceived health benefits from an ‘absence of a diagnosis’.116

 PAVM screening programmes aim to detect all clinically significant PAVMs. In the past, it was considered by some that all clinically significant PAVMs were detectable by chest X-ray and/or low oxygenation indices, although data from 1996 emphasised such an approach risked missing smaller PAVMs of significance.118 An alternate view, based on the diameter of the smallest cerebral abscess data have further emphasised the importance of normal activities are generally prescribed. Scuba divers should be informed that decompression illness (‘the bends’) is more common and severe in patients with pulmonary or cardiac right-to-left shunts.61 114 115

SECTION 3: SCREENING, RECOGNITION, AND DIAGNOSIS

3A: PAVM screening

Screening considerations include:

 ► All adult patients over 16 years with known or suspected HHT should be offered screening for PAVMs.

 ► A normal chest X-ray does not exclude clinically significant PAVMs, even if accompanied by normal oxygen saturations and no clinical symptoms.

 ► Either a negative thoracic CT scan (with or without contrast) or a negative contrast echocardiogram (CE), excludes clinically significant PAVMs.

 ► A positive CT scan is diagnostic for PAVMs, while CE is frequently positive for reasons unrelated to PAVMs.

 ► Although commonly recommended by international groups, we consider it is difficult to recommend CE as the preferred first-line screen as inexpert operators may miss clinically significant shunts. Thus, unless there is very strong local expertise in CE, the preference is for the definite study to be by CT, but this decision should be approached on a case-by-case basis.
in patients with no respiratory symptoms and normal SaO₂. Moreover, of 65 consecutive UK cases of PAVM-associated cerebral abscess, in 14 (21.5%) all PAVM feeding artery diameters were between 1 and 3 mm.

In efforts to limit unnecessary radiation exposure from screening CT scans, contrast echocardiography was developed as a method to exclude any right-to-left shunting. HHT patients with a genuinely negative echocardiogram do not require a CT scan. However, there are two important provisos. First, a negative echocardiogram is unlikely if the patient has a low PaO₂/SaO₂, migraines, HHT or high cardiac output states. Low SaO₂ values, particularly if these fall on standing (orthodeoxia), have higher specificity for subsequent demonstration of PAVMs than positive contrast echocardiography. Grading of shunt size has been used in attempt to identify positive CEs that do not require subsequent CT scans. Second, it should be noted that these are results from centres expert in the interpretation of intrapulmonary shunts in patients with HHT: methodological expertise and variability remains a major concern outside of such centres.

Figure 2 provides alternative PAVM screening protocols that can be used according to local expertise in evaluating intrapulmonary shunts by contrast echocardiography. We consider that radiation exposure is justifiable for a single screening scan, although a CT is more difficult to justify for an individual where PAVMs are identified on chest X-ray, and they have no intention of having interventional treatment.

### 3B: HHT screens for patients with PAVM

The possibility of HHT should be considered in all patients with PAVMs, and sporadic aetiology only considered for single PAVMs. Details are beyond the scope of this text but briefly

- Screening for cerebral AVMs (which affects ~10% of patients with HHT) should only be performed after consideration of the ARUBA trial and pretest counselling.
- Screening asymptomatic patients for hepatic and other systemic AVMs is not usually performed.
- Specialist management of other aspects of HHT (e.g., nosebleeds, iron deficiency anaemia and symptomatic AVMs) may be required.
- Where there is doubt about the clinical diagnosis, due to the importance of appropriately targeting cascade screening, HHT gene testing may be helpful.

A clinical diagnosis of HHT can be made in the presence of three out of four Curaçao criteria: (1) spontaneous recurrent epistaxis (nosebleeds), (2) mucocutaneous telangiectasia at characteristic sites, (3) a visceral manifestation (such as AVMs or gastrointestinal telangiectasia) and (4) an affected first-degree relative. Physicians should be aware that mucocutaneous telangiectasia are often subtle and that most patients will not volunteer a personal or family history of HHT or nosebleeds unless specifically asked.

Patients will often request screening for other AVMs based on earlier guidance and may be surprised by the current evidence base. Cerebral AVMs affect ~10% of HHT patients. Guidelines published in 2011 were generated in 2006 prior to publication of the ARUBA trial that demonstrated that based on the treatments available at that time, a bleed or other neurological sequelae were more likely if a cerebral AVM, which had not previously bled, was actively treated rather than if managed conservatively. The role for routine cerebral AVM screening for asymptomatic HHT patients is therefore not clear and a matter for informed patient choice. However, symptomatic patients deserve investigation and management according to conventional neurointerventional protocols.
Recent hepatic AVM guidance confirms that screening is not indicated in asymptomatic patients and that in the small proportion of patients with hepatic AVMs who become symptomatic, medical management is often effective in relieving symptoms.

3C: Screening relatives of patients with PAVMs
i) For an individual with PAVMs due to HHT, a first-degree family member (i.e., parent, sibling, or child) is at 1 in 4 risk of PAVMs. This risk only falls to general population figures if the relative has a gene test excluding the HHT pathogenic gene variant (‘mutation’) present in the family.

ii) Unless the PAVM is solitary, and there is no suspicion of a hereditary vascular condition such as HHT, for an individual with PAVMs, clinical screening of their first-degree relatives is usually performed.

iii) HHT gene testing is available through a number of clinical genetic laboratories. In the past, this has focused on the major HHT genes (ENG, ACVRL1 and SMAD4). There is current discussion as to the extent gene panels should be expanded to include other genes.

For an individual with PAVMs due to HHT, a first-degree family member (e.g., parent, sibling and child) is at 1 in 4 risk of PAVMs. This risk rises to 1 in 2 if HHT is known to be present in the relative. The figures are modified slightly by which HHT gene contains the pathogenic DNA variant (‘mutation’): PAVMs affect >50% of ENG and SMAD4 HHT cases and a lower proportion of ACVRL1 (ALK-1) cases.

For an individual with PAVMs and HHT, a blood relative’s risk of PAVMs is exceedingly small if HHT is excluded in that relative. However, exclusion of HHT is difficult on clinical grounds alone due to the late onset penetrance of HHT so that it may remain subclinical at the time of screening. Therefore, HHT can only be reliably excluded in a blood relative if they do not have the causal (pathogenic) gene variant for their specific family.

For an individual with PAVMs and no other features of HHT, care must be taken in case the PAVM(s) are due to otherwise subclinical HHT or an alternate hereditary vasculopathy. At present, clinical screening of first-degree relatives is usually performed, unless the PAVM is solitary, and there is no clinical or molecular suspicion of a hereditary vascular condition such as HHT.

HHT gene testing is available through a number of clinical genetic laboratories. With three major genes already identified for HHT-associated PAVMs (ENG, ACVRL1 and SMAD4), cost-effectiveness considerations have already led to the introduction of next-generation panel-based sequencing. These genes are unlikely to encompass the full range of hereditary predisposition to PAVMs as the full spectrum of hereditary vasculopathies associated with PAVMs is not yet known and awaits information from whole-genome sequencing initiatives particularly those specifically recruiting PAVM patients without a causal HHT gene variant (e.g., the 100,000 Genomes Project).

SECTION 4: FOLLOW UP
4A: Limited indications for follow-up CT scan
In view of radiation exposure, we consider that there is no place for routine follow-up CT. A repeat CT may be indicated if there is clinical suspicion of the development or increase in size of PAVMs. This may be based on symptoms, pulse oximetry, chest X-ray, right-to-left shunt evaluations by contrast echocardiography or the clinical scenario, for example, after pregnancy.

While CT-based follow-up strategies provide precise anatomic data, the incremental benefit over radiation-sparing strategies has not been established. Protocol-driven radiation exposure is high, and it is unclear if this is the reason for an apparent excess of breast cancers in HHT.

Further evidence is awaited to evaluate the proportion of increasing right-to-left shunts that are due to PAVM enlargement/growth compared with non-PAVM causes such as intrapatient variability, exercise, anaemia or other causes of high output states. Due to the basal predominance of PAVMs, right-to-left shunting commonly increases on standing, reflected by orthodeoxia and exuberant orthostatic tachycardia.

4B: Patients with a negative initial screen
Adult patients who have had a negative screen for pulmonary AVMs (whether the CE was positive or negative) are at low risk of developing pulmonary AVMs later in life. Due to growth and development of PAVMs in teenage years, children who had a negative PAVM screen require a repeat screen in adult life.

For adults, the main purpose of follow-up is to evaluate patients for the development of any additional symptoms (that may be HHT-related) and/or to provide updated advice. The need for a follow up assessment may be triggered by a referral from non-respiratory clinical services or directly as a result of patient concerns.

4C: Recently embolised patients
i) Patients with pulmonary AVMs that have been recently embolised or resected are recommended to have follow-up approximately 6 months after treatment to assess clinical, physiological (SO2, Hb and CaO2) and radiological responses.

ii) Radiological evaluation should be by chest X-ray (compared with the pre-embolisation film) unless there is a specific clinical reason to request a further CT scan. In patients where PAVMs are visible on chest X-ray pre-treatment, a repeat film will usually demonstrate resolution of the treated PAVM sacs, thus a post-treatment CT is usually unnecessary.

iii) It should be assumed that untreated small residual PAVMs are present requiring ongoing antibiotic prophylaxis and any prior stroke prevention strategies (e.g., antithrombotic agents), unless all radiographically visible PAVMs were obliterated at the time of embolisation and the patient is in the small group who have a negative CE. The chest X-ray can be falsely reassuring in this setting as it does not exclude small PAVMs.

If PAVM closure is achieved, gas exchange improves immediately post-embolisation, while haematological and haemodynamic compensations are lost over weeks to months. Regression of most PAVM sacs, dilated feeding arteries and draining veins occurs over 3–6 months, and there is usually evidence of this on PA or lateral chest X-rays. However, the majority of treated patients will have a degree of residual disease (see 4D), and this will likely be below the limit of resolution by chest X-ray. Contrast echocardiography will remain positive unless there has been complete obliteration of all angiographically visible PAVMs and is not routinely indicated post-embolisation. Failure of PAVM sacs/draining veins to regress on chest X-ray may indicate reperfusion or recanalisation and need for more detailed imaging and intervention. For further follow-up, see Section 4D.

4D: Further follow-up for patients with residual or untreated pulmonary AVMs
i) All patients require a holistic approach to follow-up. This may be offered with a combination of primary or secondary care, depending on specific clinical circumstances and local expertise.

ii) Patients with evidence of residual or untreated pulmonary AVMs, and their primary care team, should remain aware of all medical management issues (see Section 2).
iii) Patients with ongoing symptoms, or where there is clinical concern, should remain under regular PAVM specialist review, in order to optimise medical and potential further interventional management.

The majority of patients will be asymptomatic and may be followed up in primary care with input from specialist centres. Additional primary and secondary care-targeted education is helpful to emphasise the importance of avoiding or promptly treating iron deficiency, maintaining antibiotic prophylaxis regimes and recognising symptoms of concern (see below). General practitioners should be informed that patients will not be eligible for standard dental, anaemia, pregnancy and stroke management pathways and that specialist advice or review may be required at times of intercurrent surgery, pregnancy or acute medical events.

Specialised review may be required for patients who develop new symptoms such as dyspnoea, fatigue, haemoptysis, increasing severe migraines, palpitations or heart failure. New onset haemoptysis in a previously treated patient should raise the possibility of haemorrhage arising from PAVM sacs persisting post-embolisation with an acquired systemic arterial collateral blood supply. Prompt discussion with radiologists, and aortic phase-enhanced thoracic CT scanning is indicated.

There is no strong evidence to support any particular protocol for follow-up. In patients deemed to require follow-up, an approach using clinical symptoms, lying/standing SaO2, chest radiograph and blood tests may be useful. CEs will remain positive, and it is not currently clear that there is value in repeating these studies. A post-pregnancy CT can be helpful, however if there has been no symptomatic change, radiation exposure can be limited by waiting until after families are completed.
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